IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2807 OF 2005
IN
APPEAL (LODGING) NO.893 OF 2005
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO.281 OF 2003

M/s.Kamal Constructions .. Appellant
V/s
Union of India .. Respondent

Mr.G.L.Masand for the Appellant.

CORAM: DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 21.10.2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant. The learned Counsel for the Appellant undertakes to file his Vakalatnama within a period of two weeks from today. None appears for the Respondent though served. The learned Counsel for the Appellant undertakes to file an affidavit of service within a period of two weeks from today.

2. Perused the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion. For the reasons stated therein, sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay in filing the Appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence of want of bonafide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)